

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/989,796	11/20/2001	Michael Y. Zhang	ACSC 59931 (1987D)	1816	
24201	7590 07/26/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FULWIDE	FULWIDER PATTON LEE & UTECHT, LLP			BUI, VY Q	
HOWARD I	HUGHES CENTER				
6060 CENT	ER DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TENTH FLOOR			3731	3731	
LOS ANGE	LES, CA 90045		DATE MAII ED: 07/26/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/989,796	ZHANG ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Vy Q. Bui	3731			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence addr	ess		
A SHO THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this comi NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.		
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 M</u>	av 2005.				
•	•	action is non-final.				
3)	<u>-</u>					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>26 and 28-37</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>26 and 28-37</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applic ity documents have been rece ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National St	age		
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/9/2005</u> .	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:		52)		

Application/Control Number: 09/989,796

Art Unit: 3731

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not clear if "the inflated outer diameter radial shrinkage" in the claim is measured based on two different inflated pressures (one in the balloon mold and another as a part of a catheter).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 26, 28-29, 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WANG et al. (5,556,383).

WANG (examples 1-2, table 1, for example) discloses balloons (examples 1-2, lines 1-2 of table 1) having a length of 2cm/20mm and radial distention % or shrinkage % from the inflated outer diameters of the balloons to the inner diameters of the molds at an ambient temperature (about 2.25mm in example 1: col. 5, lines 50-56, and about

Application/Control Number: 09/989,796

Art Unit: 3731

3mm in example 2: col. 6, lines 26-36) at 4.2% (example 1) or 3.2% (example 2) when inflated from 88 psi (6 atm) to 132 psi (9 atm).

Notice that when one gradually increases the internal pressure inside the balloon, WANG balloon is gradually expanded and when one gradually decreases internal pressure of WANG balloon, the balloon will shrink and achieve shrinkage percentage(s) as recited in the claims.

The balloons have been heat set in the balloon molds placed in hot water baths providing substantially uniform heating at 90 Celsius (example 1: col. 6, lines 3-24) or 95 Celsius (example 2: col. 6, lines 33-34). Notice that the balloon mold is **gradually** dipped into the hot bath so as to provide enough time for the heat to uniformly distribute to the balloon mold.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 30-33, 36-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WANG et al. (5,556,383).

As to claims 30-32, WANG (example 1 and table 1) discloses a polymeric balloon having substantial all structural limitation as recited in the claims, except for the axial growth is less than 6% or 10%. However, WANG discloses radial shrinkage less than 6% in a radial direction and the material of the balloon is substantially homogenous.

therefore, it would be reasonable to expect the growth percentage in a same order to the radial shrinkage or less than 6% or 10%.

As to claim 33, WANG (example 1 and table 1) discloses a polymeric balloon of pebax (col. 5, lines 51) having substantial all structural limitation as recited in the claims, except for the balloon is made at least in part of a polyurethane. However, pebax and polyurethane are well-known low compliant polymeric materials suitable for manufacturing balloon in a balloon catheter. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have polyurethane as a material to make WANG balloon, as this configuration would guarantee a low compliant balloon with low shrinkage percentage.

As to claims 36-37, WANG (example 1 and table 1) discloses a polymeric balloon of pebax (col. 5, lines 51) having substantial all structural limitation as recited in the claims, except for the balloon thickness in the range as claimed. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to make WANG balloon having a thickness in the range as recited in the claims for other applications requiring balloons with bigger sizes.

## Response to Amendment

The amendment filed on 5/9/2005 under 37 CFR 1.131 has better defined the invention but is ineffective to overcome the WANG et al. (5,556,383) reference as indicated in the rejection presented above.

Art Unit: 3731

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vy Q. Bui whose telephone number is 571-272-4692.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday and Thursday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vy Q. Bui Primary Examiner Art Unit 3731

07/22/2005